\checkmark

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

	Eastern District of Michigan			
	United States of America v. Willie Roger Madison, Jr. Defendant)) ——————)	Case No. 17-30051	
	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Part I - Eligibility for Detention			
Upon the	14101	Ziigiviiity it		
	✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),			

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

rare ii - Findings of Fact and Law as to Fresumptions under § 3142(c)				
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:				
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):				
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or				
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or				
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or				
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or				
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves: (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and				
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>				
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>				
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.				

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:				
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);				
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;				
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;				
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or				
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.				
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above				
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above. OR				
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.				
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention				
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:				
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.				
✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure				
 ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure 				
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history 				
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision 				
 ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons 				
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse 				
 ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons 				
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☑ Lack of stable employment 				

2:17-cr-20115-BAF-APP Doc # 10 Filed 02/10/17 Pg 3 of 3 Pg ID 16

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Defendant is charged in a criminal complaint with Hobbs Act Robbery and Carrying of a Firearm during and in Relation to a Crime of Violence. Defendant is currently on state probation following his conviction for felony controlled substances. He is 27 years old, unemployed, the father of five and has strong family ties. He has two felony convictions, both for drug activities, and has a history of assaultive behavior, and as stated above, is currently on probation. On 10/20/2016 he entered a Family Dollar Store, pulled out a loaded gun and demanded cash. The store employee pulled out the cash register drawer and placed it in front of the defendant. Defendant grabbed the cash and started heading out the door, when an employee, a CPL holder, told him to stop. The Defendant turned around and exchanged gun fire with the employee, hitting him in the stomach and gravely injuring him. Defendant was also hit by gunfire, dropped the stolen money as he fled, leaving his shoe behind in the parking lot. DNA analysis of the blood on the money Defendant dropped links the Defendant to the robbery. Defendant asks for a bond with conditions. The government seeks detention on danger. Pretrial Services interviewed the Defendant and concludes that detention is warranted based on danger. This Court has reviewed and analyzed the statutory factors and finds that there is clear and convincing evidence that Defendant poses a danger to the community and that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will assure the safety of the community. The presumption of detention has not been sufficiently rebutted. Detention is ordered.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	February 10, 2017	s/ Mona K. Majzoub	
		Judge's Signature	
		Mona K. Majzoub, U.S. Magistrate Judge	
		Name and Title	